

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/692,205	Applicant(s) PEARL, IRWIN	
	Examiner Ruth C. Rodriguez	Art Unit 3677	

All Participants:
Status of Application: _____

 (1) Ruth C. Rodriguez, PTO.

(3) _____.

 (2) Myron Amer, applicant's representative.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 June 2005
Time: 11:30
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.
Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

1

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

 (Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: The Examiner called Mr. Amer to propose an Examiner's Amendment that will better define the claimed invention. The Examiner proposed the following changes to claim 1: adding the word --flaccid-- between "a" (first occurrence) and "string" in the third line and adding the word --solely-- between "beads" and "maintain" in between lines 17 and 18. The Examiner also indicated that something seems to be missing in lines 17 and 18. Mr. Amer approved to the proposed changes to the claim and proposed adding the word --when-- between "whereby" and "worn" in line 17 and --when worn-- between "and" and "as" in line 17 in order to correct the last part of the claim. The Examiner agreed to this change and both parties agreed to make the changes through an Examiner's Amendment in order to place the application in condition for allowance.